REPORT ON GRADUATE

STUDENT COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

This form is to be complete by the Comprehensive Examination Chair either during or immediately after the examination and sent to the entire examination committee, student, and supervisor, with a copy to the PALM GS Program Coordinator at heather.cheadle@pathology.ubc. ca within 4 business days of the meeting. Please type the report in the space provided.

**GRADUATE STUDENT SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NAME (FIRST, LAST) |  | DATE |  |
| LOCATION |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ☐STEP (Strategic Translation and Extension of Project) A topic that is either a future-cast project, or a side-line project, that addresses an original hypothesis that builds on the student’s current proposal.  ☐LEAP (Lateral Exploration of Alternate Project) A topic outside the immediate thesis topic that addresses an original hypothesis distinct from the student’s thesis topic. This option is similar to the comprehensive exam format in place prior to 2024.  COMPOSITION OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE | |
| ROLE | NAME (FIRST, LAST) |
| CHAIR |  |
| SUPERVISOR |  |
| SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE EXAMINER |  |
| PALM EXTERNAL EXAMINER |  |
| EXTERNAL EXAMINER |  |
| ADDITIONAL EXTERNAL EXAMINER (IF REQUIRED) |  |

1. About the Examination Committee: (Include a short description of the composition)

The following example will disappear when you enter details of this examination. Your entry should include the type of example information included here: An additional examiner was present. PALM approved an examiner from PALM and one of two non-PALM examiners. However, the candidate invited both non-PALM examiners and both were present. This was managed by limiting the examination period to a total of 20 minutes for each examiner.

1. About the Proposal: (Include a short description of the proposal submission)

The following example will disappear when you enter details of this examination. Your entry should include the type of example information included here: The candidate submitted their proposal to the PALM office in two parts. The first being the proposal itself, and the second being the supporting tables and figures. The latter was not distributed to the committee and it is recommended that the comprehensive guidelines document be amended to require that the candidate submits the proposal and all supporting documents as a single PDF file.

1. About the Examination: (Include a short description of the examination)

The following example will disappear when you enter details of this examination. Your entry should include the type of example information included here: The examination began at 10:01 and the candidate attested that the work being presented is entirely his own, and that the experiments are not currently being carried out in the host laboratory or in that of a close collaborator.

1. About the Candidate Presentation: (Include a short description of the presentation)

The following example will disappear when you enter details of this examination. Your entry should include the type of example information included here: The candidate presented an overview of the proposal in 20 minutes. Two rounds of questions followed this from each of the examiners. In total, questions from each examiner were approximately 20-25 minutes in length.

1. About the Supervisor Feedback: (Include a short description of the discussion)

The following example will disappear when you enter details of this examination. Your entry should include the type of example information included here: The candidate was asked to leave the room and a review of his performance in the program was provided by the supervisor who subsequently also left the room.

1. About the In Camera Discussion: (Include a description of the in-camera discussion and final

The following example will disappear when you enter details of this examination. Your entry should include the type of example information included here: a. The committee was unanimous in the opinion that the Candidate should receive a PASS. (Example for a CONDITIONAL PASS: Concerns were raised that it was the committee’s expectation that a better understanding of the role of is addressed. This is considered to be important both to the completeness of the comprehensive proposal and the thesis work to be conducted. Accordingly, the committee requires that: i.The candidate prepare an oral presentation in the form of two journal club presentations. These must specifically address the papers: 1. 2. b. It was noted that the proposal was extremely well written and presented. c. All examiners commented that the presentation was of excellence in both content and delivery. d. The candidate answered many of the questions to a standard expected for a PhD student at this stage of their program. By contrast, it was felt by some examiners that several questions relating to the background of the discipline and the biochemistry of protease were occasionally superficial. This will need to be addressed before the candidate will be able to appropriately defend his PhD thesis. e. It was noted that the lay summary was not carefully prepared and that significant rewriting of this section of the proposal would help in the future.

1. About the Committee Decision: (Include the final mark and any conditions)

*The decision by the Committee:*

CANDIDACY DEADLINE

PASS ☐

FAIL ☐

PASS WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS ☐:

* 2. (If needed)
  3. (If needed)

DATE OF EXAM

NAME OF CHAIR

SIGNATURE